

CLIMATE CHANGE AND ITS IMPACT ON WATER CONSUMPTION IN THE MAIN AGRICULTURAL CROPS OF THE ROMANIAN PLAIN AND DOBROGEA

Oana Alina NIȚU¹, Elena Ștefania IVAN², Daniel Sorin NIȚU¹

¹University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest,
59 Marasti Blvd, District 1, Bucharest, Romania

²Research Centre for Study of Food Quality and Agricultural Products, University of Agronomic
Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, 59 Marasti Blvd, District 1, Bucharest, Romania

Corresponding author email: oanaalinanitu1111@gmail.com

Abstract

Drought is the limiting factor that manifests itself on the largest agricultural area. The agricultural areas most vulnerable to soil water scarcity are those of Dobrogea, the south of the Romanian plain, the south-east and east of Moldova, as well as the west of the Tisei Plain. The purpose of the research was to calculate the moisture deficit and the need for water for irrigation based on climate scenarios made with different global climate models, as well as determining the water consumption of irrigated crops according to the expected climate change in the Romanian climate regime. In order to predict the effects of climate change, it was followed in the areas served by Constanta and Tecuci weather stations the modification of the elements of the irrigation regime in case of possible increases in average temperatures by 2°C respectively 5°C. The management of irrigation water in a given area was established according to the estimated moisture deficit as the difference between water consumption and useful rainfall during the growing season of crops.

Key words: limited water supplying, watering rate, irrigation norm, water consumption.

INTRODUCTION

The global climate is undergoing dramatic and irreversible changes. Climate change will also lead to variability in agricultural production, increasing extreme weather events such as heat waves, droughts, and heavy rainfall. Limiting dangerous climate change requires huge reductions in emissions, as well as the use of alternatives to fossil fuels world-wide (Dragotă et al., 2019). It is estimated that human activities can cause a rise of global temperature by 1°C above pre-industrial levels, with a likely range of 0.8°C to 1.2°C (Huppmann et al., 2018). Global warming will likely reach 1.5 °C between 2023 and 2052 if it continues to rise at the same intensity. Analysing the long-term warming trend of the pre-industrial period, the observed global average temperature for the decade 2006-2015 was 0.87°C (IPCC Press Release, 2018). The negative impact on the economy, health, nature, agriculture and population varies across Europe, depending on the region and territory (European Environment Agency EEA, 2014). The agricultural sector

contributes approximately 10% to the total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in the EU-27 (EEA, 2010). Crop production varies each year, being heavily influenced by fluctuations in climatic conditions and by extreme meteorological events (Wheeler et al., 2013).

Climate variability influences all sectors of the economy, but agriculture remains the most vulnerable, and its impact is more acute at present, because climate change and variability is becoming more and more pronounced (Chitu et al., 2015). In Romania, the effect of climate change is felt, and these will be manifested by increasing temperatures, changing the rainfall regime, melting ice and snow, and raising sea levels.

Extreme weather phenomena leading to negative environmental impacts (floods and droughts) will become more frequent and intense in many regions (Rummukainen, 2012). Effects on ecosystems, economic sectors, population health, and vulnerability vary from region to region (Daniel et al., 2019). Due to climate change, production will be significantly

reduced unless action is taken accordingly. The important role belongs to breeders to create varieties and hybrids with a low consumption of evapotranspiration (IPCC Press Release, 2018). The climatic changes have increased the growth of weeds, insect pests and diseases in many geographic areas, and increased problems for sustainable agriculture (Bojariu et al., 2015). Agronomic approaches such as adjusting sowing dates, nutrient management, water management, use of plant hormones and osmoprotectors, should be applied significantly to mitigate the negative effect of climatic parameters (Mustafa et al., 2023). The impact of climate change on yields of main crops in the world is expected to be negative (Roudier et al., 2011) while the exact impact remains highly uncertain when elevated temperatures, higher atmospheric CO₂ and changed rainfall occur simultaneously (Roudier et al., 2011). If specific action is not taken and people continue to consume current levels of fossil fuels (e.g. oil and coal), the average temperature of the Earth will rise by 2°C to 6.4°C by the end of the 21st century (IPCC Press Release, 2018). The average global temperature has increased by 0.74°C over the past 100 years (1906-2005) (Korean Meteorological Agency, 2008; Rasul et al., 2012; Abbas et al., 2017; Bokhari et al., 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The objective of the research paper was to calculate the moisture deficit, the need for water for irrigation, as well as the water consumption of maize based on climate scenarios. To achieve this objectives, three possible climatic scenarios were established namely:

1. Tn - current average temperatures;
2. Tn +2°C - increasing of current average temperatures with 2°C;
3. Tn +5°C - increasing of current average temperatures with 5°C.

The ETRO value was estimated by Thornthwaite method, based on correlation between water consumption of a crop and air average temperature. Depending on the values of the average normal temperatures, the values of the average monthly temperatures were obtained in the case of increase by 2°C or 5°C.

After obtaining these values, the annual values of the thermal index were calculated for the three climatic scenarios (Tn; Tn+2°C and Tn+5°C) in the two pedoclimatic areas, Dobrogea and the Romanian Plain (Table 1).

Table 1. Monthly, annual average temperature and monthly and annual thermal index values (°C) at Tecuci and Constanta meteorological stations during 1991-2020 period

Month	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	SUM I
Tecuci													
t _m °C	-1.8	0.3	5.2	11.4	17.2	21.1	22.9	22.4	16.9	10.9	5.2	-0.3	-
i	0.00	0.01	1.06	3.48	6.49	8.82	10.01	9.68	6.32	3.26	1.06	0.00	50.1
t _m °C +2°C	0.2	2.3	7.2	13.4	19.2	23.1	24.9	24.4	18.9	12.9	7.2	-0.1	-
i	0.01	0.31	1.74	4.45	7.67	10.15	11.37	11.02	7.49	4.20	1.74	0.0	60.1
t _m °C+5°C	1.2	5.3	10.2	16.4	22.2	26.1	27.9	27.4	21.9	15.9	10.2	0.2	-
i	0.12	1.09	2.94	6.04	9.55	12.21	13.50	13.14	9.36	5.76	2.94	0.0	76.6
Constanta													
t _m °C	0.0	1.8	5.8	10.9	16.7	21.3	23.4	23.0	18.0	12.5	7.1	1.7	-
i	0.00	0.21	1.25	3.26	6.21	8.97	10.35	10.08	6.95	4.10	1.70	0.20	53.2
t _m °C +2°C	2.0	3.8	7.8	12.9	18.7	23.3	25.4	25.0	20.0	14.5	9.1	3.7	-
i	0.25	0.66	1.96	4.20	7.37	10.28	11.71	11.44	8.16	5.01	2.48	0.63	64.1
t _m °C+5°C	5.0	6.8	10.8	15.9	21.7	26.3	28.4	25.0	20.0	17.5	12.1	6.7	-
i	1.00	1.59	3.21	5.76	9.23	12.35	13.87	11.44	8.16	6.66	3.81	1.56	78.6

i = monthly thermal index
I= annual thermal index

With the obtained values it was possible to calculate the Etro for the maize crop according to climatic scenarios and pedoclimatic zones. In the calculations of the water balance in the soil the values of the initial reserves, of the minimum ceilings and of the watering norms specific to the two studied areas were used. Romania being crossed by the 45th parallel it is quite possible that the current value of annual precipitation will remain the same. In the paper the soil water balance was calculate using the current rainfall values. Thus, the rainfall values used in the soil water balance calculations where the average values over of at least 29 years (1991-2020) of the studied areas with the calculation assurance of 80% (Table 2).

Table 2. Average rainfall (mm) recorded at Constanta and Tecuci meteorological stations in 1991-2020 period

Month	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VI I	VI II	IX	X	XI	XI I	SU M I-XII	SUM V-IX
Consta nta	26.5	21.6	29.0	32.1	45.2	57.5	64.1	36.4	49.7	38.7	33.8	35.0	469.6	285
Tecuci	24.0	23.8	31.0	41.3	54.9	73.4	58.5	50.6	50.3	51.2	35.1	36.0	530.1	329

After calculating the soil water balance for the maize crop in the two pedoclimatic areas and for the three scenarios, a 2 x 3 bifactorial model was obtained. The need to apply irrigation for a particular area is determined according to the moisture deficit calculated as the difference between water consumption and water supply sources of the soil and maize.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The average daily evapotranspiration will increase in the two pedoclimatic areas studied

by 2 m³/ha/day in the case of 2°C and 5 m³/ha/day in the case of heating with 5°C increase, which requires finding technical and agrophytotechnical methods to create genotypes with a reduced sweating coefficient and which react positively in the case of moisture stress (Table 3).

The total optimal real evapotranspiration will increase by values that will exceed 1,246 m³/ha in the case of 5°C heating of the average temperature, which means an important volume of irrigation water that must ensure the normal growth and development of plants (Table 4).

Table 3. Synthesis of data on the influence of the increase in average temperatures in the maize crop on the average daily evapotranspiration between 1991-2020 period

Variant		Daily ETRO		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	32	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	34	106.25	2	***
	t _n + 5°C	37	115.62	5	***
Tecuci	t _n	33	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	35	106.06	2	***
	t _n + 5°C	38	115.15	5	***

LSD 5%=0.75 LSD 1%= 1.03 LSD 0.1 %=1.35

Table 4. Synthesis of data on the influence of the increase of average temperatures in the maize crop on the total average evaporation between 1991-2020 period

Variant		Total ETRO		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	5,225	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	5,522	106.26	297	***
	t _n + 5°C	5,963	114.12	738	***
Tecuci	t _n	5,370	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	6,109	113.76	739	***
	t _n + 5°C	6,616	123.20	1,246	***

LSD 5%=167.53 LSD 1%= 222.35 LSD 0.1 %=291.85

Table 5. Synthesis of data on the influence of the increase in average temperatures on the average water deficit in the maize crop between 1991-2020 period

Variant		Hydric deficit		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	3,240	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	3,526	108.64	286	***
	t _n + 5°C	3,957	122.13	717	***
Tecuci	t _n	3,865	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	4,341	112.32	476	***
	t _n + 5°C	4,841	125.25	976	***

LSD 5%=222.43 LSD 1%= 310.28 LSD 0.1 %=421.65

With the increase of the average temperatures will increase and the values of the moisture deficit and the average water requirement for irrigation. At Constanta in case of increase of average temperatures by 5°C deficit will be 3,957 m³/ha and at Tecuci with 4,841 m³/ha.

The average irrigation water requirement will be between 1,239 m³/ha and about 2,817m³/ha at an increased temperature higher by 5°C. This need for irrigation water will reduce the irrigated areas if the volume of irrigation water used today is maintained or the current irrigated

areas are maintained but with the use of additional volumes of irrigation water. The increase in the need for irrigation water will

increase the expenditure on irrigation water and the need for equipment and manpower (Table 5 and Table 6).

Table 6. Synthesis of data on the influence of the increase of average temperatures in the maize crop on the average water requirement between 1991-2020 period

Variant		Water need		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	742	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	1164	156.87	422	**
	t _n + 5°C	1239	166.98	497	**
Tecuci	t _n	1300	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	1732	13.23	432	**
	t _n + 5°C	2817	216.69	1517	***

LSD 5%=233.72 LSD 1%= 419.48 LSD 0.1 %=654.94

Table 7. Synthesis of data on the influence of average maize temperature increase on the number of waterings between 1991-2020

Variant		Number of watering		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	3	100	Control	
	t _n + 2 °C	5	166.67	2	***
	t _n + 5 °C	6	200.00	3	***
Tecuci	t _n	7	100	Control	
	t _n + 2 °C	8	114.30	1	***
	t _n + 5 °C	9	128.57	2	***

LSD 5%=0.25 LSD 1%= 0.36 LSD 0.1 %=0.49

Table 8. Synthesis of data on the influence of average maize temperature increase on average irrigation rate between 1991-2020

Variant		Average irrigation rate		Differences and Significance	
		m ³ /ha	%	m ³ /ha	Signif
Constanta	t _n	2835	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	4725	166.67	1890	***
	t _n + 5°C	5670	200.00	2835	***
Tecuci	t _n	3934	100	Control	
	t _n + 2°C	4496	114.30	562	***
	t _n + 5°C	5058	128.57	1124	***

LSD 5%=202.3 LSD 1%= 286.70 LSD 0.1 %=393.69

In the two studied locations will increase the number of waterings depending on the average temperature that will be achieved, namely by 2 in the case of heating with 2°C of the normal average temperature and by 3 waterings in the case of heating with 5°C of the normal average temperature.

The irrigation norm will exceed the current norm by about 1,800 m³/ha in Constanta and by 562 m³/ha in Tecuci in the case of heating with 2°C of the normal average temperature and in the case of increasing the average temperature by up to 5°C the irrigation norm depending on the pedoclimatic area will increase by 1,124-2,835 m³/ha (Table 7 and Table 8).

CONCLUSIONS

Due to these climatic changes, the aridification process will intensify, the periods of droughts being more numerous and of longer duration. The association between atmospheric and pedological drought will have particularly damaging effects for agricultural crops, diminishing or totally compromising the crops. By 2029, the average temperatures in our country are expected to increase by 1.5°C, and by 2099 by 2-5°C, depending on the climate scenario (IPCC, 2013).

The average daily evapotranspiration will increase in both areas by about 6% if the temperature rises by 2°C and by about 15 % at

a temperature above 5°C. The average water deficit is possible to increase by more than 15% at $t_n + 2^\circ\text{C}$ and by 19% at $t_n + 5^\circ\text{C}$.

As regards the average water requirement for irrigation, it will increase compared to the current values with values of approximately 61% at $t_n + 2^\circ\text{C}$ and 74% at $t_n + 5^\circ\text{C}$. The studied area presents the most favourable pedological conditions for the growth and development of the maize crop, but in the case of increasing the average temperature it is necessary to find technical and agrophytotechnical methods for reducing the water consumption an important role in this regard will be played by the breeders who have to create genotypes with a reduced sweating coefficient, and which react positively in the case of.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, G., Ahmad, S., Ahmad, A., Nasim, W., Fatima, Z., Hussain, S. (2017). Quantification the impacts of climate change and crop management on phenology of maize-based cropping system in Punjab, Pakistan. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 247. 42–55.
- Bojariu, R., Birsan, M., V., Cică, R., Velea, L., Burcea, S., Dumitrescu, A., Dascălu, S., I., Gothard, M., A., Dobrinescu, Cărbunaru, F., Marin, L. (2015) *Schimbările climatice*. Editura Printech, Bucuresti; European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 68–71.
- Bokhari, S.A.A., Rasul, G, Ruane, A.C., Hoogenboom, G., Ahmad, A. (2017). The past and future changes in climate of the rice-wheat cropping zone in Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Meteorology*, 13(26), 10–23.
- Chitu, E., Giosanu, D., Mateescu, E. (2015). The variability of seasonal and annual extreme temperature trends of the latest three decades in Romania. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*, 6. 429
- Daniel, A., Mateescu, E., Tudor, R., Leonard, I. (2019). Analysis of agroclimatic resources in Romania in the current and foreseeable climate change—concept and methodology of approaching. *Agron. Ser. Sci. Res.*, 61. 221–229.
- Dragotă, C. S., Ion, S., Mateescu, E., Vătămanu, V. V. (2019). Climate change in Romania and its effects on agriculture. *Studies and Communications / Dis*, 12: 441–445.
- **European Environment Agency [EEA], 1999. Technical report No.25, Environmental indicators: Typology and overview. Prepared by: Edith Smeets and Rob Weterings (TNO Centre for Strategy, Technology and Policy, The Netherlands). *Project Managers: Peter Bosch, Martin Büchele and David Gee*. Available online at: (http://data_bases.euccd.de/files/documents/00000641_Envir_Indicator.pdf).
- Erenstein, O. (2022). Global maize production, consumption and trade: trends and R&D implications. *Food Security*, 14(5), 1295–1319.
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change: The physical sciences basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*, Cambridge, United Kingdom/NY, USA (<https://www.ipcc.ch/report>).
- *IPCC Press Release (2018). Summary for Policymakers of IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C approved by government.
- IPCC, 2021. Summary for Policymakers. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge UK (<https://www.ipcc.ch/report>)?
- Rummukainen, M. (2012). Changes in climate and weather extremes in the 21st century. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 3(2), 115–129.
- Wheeler, T., Von Braun, J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science*, 341.6145: 508–513.