

## GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF PLANT INDIVIDUALS FROM TWO *LYCIUM BARBARUM* L. VARIETIES

Ioana Claudia MENCINICOPSCHI, Viorica BĂLAN

University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, 59 Mărăști Blvd,  
District 1, 011464, Bucharest, Romania

Corresponding author email: claudia.menci@gmail.com

### Abstract

This study is the result of the interpretation and synthesis of the observations, measurements and calculations performed with regard to the biological characteristics of growth and development dynamics of the vegetative and reproductive organs of *Lycium barbarum* L. plants. Two varieties of this species were studied. Research has been conducted between the years 2010 and 2012. Some of the biological characteristics, that have been measured or observed, were: plant height, number and length of shoots, number of leaves, flowering and fructifying dates, fruit yield, soluble dry substance in fruit (SU%) and fruit weight. Also, the volume and shape of the two years old goji shrubs were determined. The plants' shapes were either truncated cones or inverted (reversed) truncated cones. Our work highlights some of the differences between the two studied *Lycium barbarum* L. varieties. These differences refer to adaptability potentials as well as biological characteristics. The significance of our contribution is that it presents the growth dynamics and development stages of two varieties belonging to the *Lycium barbarum* L. species, making comparisons between the two varieties' characteristics and showing how the second year's measurements compare to those of the first year from planting.

**Key words:** biological characteristics, fructification, growth dynamics, *Lycium barbarum* L. (Goji), varieties.

## INTRODUCTION

*Lycium barbarum* L. is a deciduous shrub belonging to the Solanaceae family and which is native to Asia and S-E Europe. Its fruit are also known as Goji berries and they have been used for a long time in Traditional Chinese Medicine together with other parts of the plant (Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, 1997).

In recent years, the species' popularity has grown, especially in western countries, as its fruit is being used as a functional food or as a major component for many different categories of healthcare products.

This is mostly due to marketing claims, although scientific research, which is increasingly focusing on goji berries' health promoting properties, has shown that the fruit's nutritional value and sanogene potential are impressing (Amagase et al., 2011).

Thus, these exotic berries are highly valued for their: antiageing properties, antidiabetic effects, antioxidant activity, cardiovascular benefits, for promoting eye health and for strengthening the immune system (Mencinicopschi, 2010).

Though numerous studies on the plants' biological characteristics exist in China, where this species is considered a national treasure, this type of research hasn't been conducted at the same scale in western countries.

Moreover, the majority of studies which have been carried out lately are increasingly focusing on the positive health effects of the berries active components (LBP) and less on the biological characteristic of the plants.

In Romania, *L. barbarum* has only been regarded as a potentially invasive species (Anastasiu, 2005), and the possibility of introducing these plants towards cultivation hasn't been studied yet.

This is why this study aims to present the biological characteristics of growth and development dynamics of the vegetative and reproductive organs of *Lycium barbarum* L. plants, grown in Northern Bucharest region.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to study the growth and development characteristics of plant individuals from the *Lycium barbarum* L. species, the following

indicators were used: plant height, number and length of shoots, number of leaves, flowering and fructifying dates, fruit yield, soluble dry substance in fruit (SU%) and fruit weight. Also, the volume and shape of the two years old goji shrubs were determined.

These indicators were determined for two phenotypes ( $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ), which were planted in a non-random block experiment with 6 repetitions (3 for  $V_1$  and 3 for  $V_2$ ), on the research field within the Campus of the University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest.

The volume of the plants was determined by using the mathematical formula for the truncated cone shape which bared the most similarity with the plants' architecture.

The plants were regularly measured and the fructification stages were closely observed. The fruit were measured, weighed and tested. Both sensorial and chemical properties were analyzed.

Some of the instruments that were used are: WAA analytical balance/scales, portable refractometer and binocular eyeglass.

The collected data have been statistically processed and interpreted using Microsoft Office Excel™ 2007, according to Pena A. (Pena, 1986) and Cociu V., Oprea S. (Cociu et al., 1989) research methods, in order to illustrate the Goji plants' growing and development dynamics.

The period analyzed in this study was 2010 - 2012, with 2010 being the year in which the shrubs were planted and 2011-2012 being the interval in which the plants' growth dynamics has been studied.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

**Plant height.** The average height of the potted plants, at the date of their planting (19.11.2010), varied between 17.86 cm and 44.25 cm. The  $V_1$  phenotype had taller plants than  $V_2$ . For  $V_1$ , the average height was 41.13 cm, while for  $V_2$  the average value was 19.25 cm.

The next year (2011) (Table 1), average plant heights varied between 35.68 cm and 72 cm. The variability coefficient was very high, meaning that the plants had very different growth rates and adaptability potentials. While the tallest plants were those in  $V_2$ 's 3<sup>rd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> repetitions, with maximum averages of 107 cm and 99 cm, the average heights of plants as a whole (per year/variety) were rather close: 51.70 cm for  $V_1$  and 52.43 cm for  $V_2$ . Besides having the tallest plants,  $V_2$  also had the highest variability coefficient for this indicator.

By looking at the way the plant heights have evolved, we can see that, at first, the growth rate of the  $V_2$  plants was a little slower than that of the  $V_1$  plants, but by the end of November,  $V_2$  caught up and even surpassed  $V_1$ . In the end, during 2011,  $V_2$  had a higher growth rate than  $V_1$ .

Table 1. Average heights of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

2011		Average plant height (cm)				Variability coefficient of plant height (s%)			
		May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average	May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average
$V_1$	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	41.50	55.64	52.93	50.02	20.22	27.28	27.02	24.84
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	45.71	66.00	61.14	57.62	33.60	36.89	34.76	35.08
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	38.50	54.75	49.13	47.46	12.46	31.54	48.33	30.78
	Average	41.90	58.80	54.40	51.70	22.09	31.90	36.71	30.23
$V_2$	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	23.00	94.00	99.00	72.00	104.53	-	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	12.29	22.50	72.25	35.68	64.56	154.72	105.21	108.16
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	15.83	26.00	107.00	49.61	72.83	137.66	-	105.24
	Average	17.04	47.50	92.75	52.43	80.64	146.19	105.21	106.70

In 2012, which is the second year from planting (Table 2), the average plant height varied between 85.14 cm and 180 cm.

The variability coefficient was even higher than in 2011 due to the loss of some of the plants from the second variety. Again, the variability coefficient for  $V_2$  was higher than that for  $V_1$ .

This time, the average heights of plants as a whole (per variety) had more distinct values: 107.77 cm for  $V_1$  and 177.50 cm for  $V_2$ .

Thus,  $V_2$  plants have grown higher than those of  $V_1$  in the second year as well as in the first year from planting.

Table 2. Average heights of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

	2012 (Nov)	Average plant height (cm)	Variability coefficient of plant height (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	85.14	67.64
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	125.43	38.31
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	112.75	46.18
	Average	107.77	50.71
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	180.00	70.71
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	175.00	-
	Average	177.50	70.71

So, V<sub>1</sub> plants went from an average of 41.13 cm to 51.70 cm to 107.77 cm. This shows that the growth rate of these plants was slower in the first year than in the second. V<sub>1</sub>'s growth rate, over these two years, was also slower than that of V<sub>2</sub>'s which started with an average height of 19.25 cm, followed by 52.43 cm and reached 177.50 cm by the end of 2012.

These results clearly show that V<sub>2</sub> plants tend to have a slow start when developing, but they compensate by having a more luxuriant growth later on.

As a conclusion, both varieties of *Lycium barbarum* L. had a positive growth trend. The plants of V<sub>1</sub> were shorter and had a slower growth rate than those of V<sub>2</sub>.

Also, the first variety showed a lower variability than that of the second, meaning that the average values are more relevant in its case. For V<sub>2</sub>, the values reflect the fact that its individuals were more different from one another and had more variable growth rates.

**Number of shoots.** The dynamics of this characteristic has been studied for every variety, repetition and plant in the research field during the first year from planting. Three major stages were observed in 2011. May represented the growth debut, June-August represented the months with an intensive growth rate and November marked the end of the growth period (Table 3).

Table 3. Average number of shoots of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

	2011	Average number of shoots				Variability coefficient of number of shoots (s%)			
		May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average	May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	7.71	9.14	13.43	10.10	49.48	46.16	44.65	46.76
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	5.86	15.43	25.29	15.52	47.71	120.50	81.01	83.07
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	5.50	18.00	22.25	15.25	70.42	92.63	71.48	78.17
	Average	6.36	14.19	20.32	13.62	55.87	86.43	65.71	69.34
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	6.00	11.00	18.00	11.67	-	-	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	1.67	7.50	17.50	8.89	34.64	103.71	125.26	87.87
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	2.67	72.00	81.00	51.89	78.06	-	-	78.06
	Average	3.44	30.17	38.83	24.15	56.35	103.71	125.26	82.97

The average number of shoots varied between 9 and 52, with V<sub>2</sub> having both the lowest and the highest values per repetition (i.e. V<sub>2</sub> 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition had the lowest value, whilst its 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition had the highest number of shoots).

V<sub>1</sub> had a lower variability for this indicator, and its average number of shoots at the end of the year 2011 was of almost 14 (13.62). For V<sub>2</sub>, the average number of shoots for the first year from planting was 24 (24.15). For both varieties, the number of shoots has had a positive trend throughout the year.

So, it is clear that whilst V<sub>1</sub> has had less variation in its number of shoots per plant, V<sub>2</sub> plants have had a more dynamic rate of growing new shoots, especially in its 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition.

In 2012 (Table 4), the average number of shoots belonging to the growth debut stage (May), was higher than that of 2011 for both V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>. The average number for V<sub>1</sub> was 21 shoots, which is 3.71 times more than in the same period of the first year. What's more, the average value for the 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition of V<sub>1</sub> was higher in May 2012 (28 shoots), than in November 2011 (25 shoots), when it was the maximum average value of V<sub>1</sub> that year.

For V<sub>2</sub>, the average values in May 2012 (4.79 shoots) surpassed those of the same period in 2011 (3.44 shoots), but they were far less than those of the maximum value of that year (81 shoots, in the 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition).

Table 4. Average number of shoots of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

	2012 (May)	Average number of shoots	Variability coefficient of number of shoots (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	12.57	90.21
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	28.00	78.41
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	23.75	70.32
	Average	21.44	79.65
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	1.67	173.21
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	7.71	183.59
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	5.00	255.86
	Average	4.79	204.22

Similar to the previous year, the variability coefficient for the number of shoots in V<sub>2</sub> plants was higher than that of the V<sub>1</sub> plants. This was mainly because of the loss of several V<sub>2</sub> plants, but it also reflects the different growth and development rates the plants have. So, as a conclusion, V<sub>2</sub> plants had a higher number of shoots than V<sub>1</sub> in 2011, but lower values for this indicator in the growth debut period (May) of both 2011 and 2012.

This shows its tendency to enter the growth debut period later than V<sub>1</sub>. Nevertheless, once the second variety reaches its intensive growth period, it quickly beats V<sub>1</sub>'s development rate. It's also worth mentioning the fact that both varieties have developed anticipated shoots in the second year from planting (2012).

**Length of shoots.** Same as for the previous indicator, the dynamics of this characteristic has been studied for every variety, repetition and plant in the research field during the first year from planting. The same three major stages were observed: the growth debut was in May, June-August represented the months with an intensive growth rate and November marked the end of the growth period.

In 2011, the average shoot length spanned between 11.84 cm and 29.57 cm. Again, the second variety presented both the top and the bottom values per repetition, though not in the same repetitions as those of the previous indicator.

The average shoot length per year was 14.14 cm for V<sub>1</sub> and 19.57 cm for V<sub>2</sub>, so the second variety's faster growth rate is also illustrated by this 2011 indicator (Table 5).

The variability coefficient of the shoots' length was, again, higher for the second variety with a peak of 65.40 % for its 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition. V<sub>1</sub> also had the most variability of this indicator in its 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition (42.68 %).

In 2012, the average shoot length varied between 4 cm and 19.79 cm. As in the previous year, both of the values belonged to V<sub>2</sub>'s repetitions. The average shoot length in May was 7.40 cm for V<sub>1</sub> and 11.33 cm for V<sub>2</sub> meaning that, once again, the second variety had a more vigorous growth, despite the values being smaller than those of May 2011.

Table 5. Average shoot length of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

	2011	Average shoot length (cm)				Variability coefficient of shoot length (s%)			
		May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average	May	Jul-Aug	Nov	Average
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	13.16	15.80	11.39	13.45	40.66	42.51	35.45	39.54
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	17.56	16.64	11.91	15.37	29.20	42.60	23.77	31.86
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	11.40	16.40	13.00	13.60	40.80	69.75	17.50	42.68
	Average	14.04	16.28	12.10	14.14	36.89	51.62	25.57	38.03
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	22.00	21.70	45.00	29.57	-	-	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	19.17	12.80	19.95	17.31	76.69	24.31	42.18	47.73
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	9.43	10.70	15.40	11.84	65.40	-	-	65.40
	Average	16.87	15.07	26.78	19.57	71.05	24.31	42.18	56.56

So, the 2011 and the 2012 values have shown that the *Lycium* plants' shoots have been growing in both these years. The plants have grown at different rates, especially those in the

second variety. Also, it's interesting to point out the fact that the second year, both varieties had a slower growth rate in their growing debut phase (Table 6).

Table 6. Average shoot length of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

	2012 (May)	Average shoot length (cm)	Variability coefficient of shoot length (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	5.20	104.07
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	9.04	51.20
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	7.98	47.75
Average		7.40	67.67
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	4.00	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	10.18	102.92
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	19.79	-
Average		11.33	102.92

**Number of leaves.** In May 2011, the average number of leaves on the goji shrubs varied between 44 and 260. The minimum value belonged to the 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition of V<sub>2</sub> and the maximum one was also found in the 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition, but that of the first variety. The average number of leaves per variety was nearly 227 for V<sub>1</sub> and almost 96 for V<sub>2</sub>.

As opposed to the other indicators, the average number of leaves per plant was higher in 2011 for the first variety. The variability coefficient, though, was still higher for the second variety of goji shrubs (Table 7).

Table 7. Average number of leaves on *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

	2011 (May)	Average no. of leaves	Variability coefficient of no. of leaves (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	217.43	43.95
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	259.86	38.34
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	202.25	48.34
Average		226.51	43.54
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	198.00	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	44.00	47.62
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	45.50	135.21
Average		95.83	91.41

In the second year from planting, the average number of leaves varied between 147 and 1,589. Both the maximum and the minimum values belonged to the second variety. The variability coefficient was, as in the previous year, higher for V<sub>2</sub> than for V<sub>1</sub>.

The average number of leaves per variety was 1,073 for V<sub>1</sub> and 860 for V<sub>2</sub>. Although the first variety had the higher value for this indicator in both years, the difference between V<sub>1</sub>'s and V<sub>2</sub>'s values was smaller in 2012 than in 2011 (Table 8).

Table 8. Average number of leaves on *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

	2012 (May)	Average no. of leaves (cm)	Variability coefficient of no. of leaves (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	534.43	59.39
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	1,299.57	55.64
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	1,385.50	78.90
Average		1,073.17	64.64
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	147.00	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	1,589.00	122.46
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	844.00	-
Average		860.00	122.46

By comparing the two years, we can conclude that V<sub>1</sub> plants had more leaves than those from the second variety. Still, the difference between the values of V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> was smaller in 2012 than in 2011, meaning that V<sub>2</sub> plants have increased their development rate in the second year. Also, both varieties had significantly more leaves in 2012 than in 2011, thus reflecting they have undergone a growth stage.

**Flowering dates.** In 2011, on V<sub>1</sub> individuals, flower buds first appeared at the middle of June. Towards the end of the same month, flowering also occurred on the shrubs. V<sub>2</sub> plants flowered in August, and had far less flowers than those of V<sub>1</sub>.

Both phenotypes flowered until late November. The average number of flowers per shoot was between 5 and 40, with the minimum value belonging to V<sub>2</sub> and the maximum value belonging to V<sub>1</sub>.

In 2012, the first floral buds appeared at the beginning of May on a V<sub>2</sub> plant. A few days later, they were also seen on V<sub>1</sub> plants. After a few more days, the first flowers also appeared. The average number of flowers and buds, per branch, was 5 to 50, with a maximum of 70. Again, V<sub>1</sub> plants were the ones to bare the most flowers.

**Fructifying dates.** In 2011, the first fruit appeared at the end of June on V<sub>1</sub> individuals. Production peaked in August and September and fructification continued until the end of November, for both phenotypes. V<sub>2</sub> shrubs had fewer but bigger fruit. For this variety, fructification started later and was less frequent.

In 2012, fructifying started towards the middle of May, which was earlier than the first year. At that time, the first fruit appeared on a V<sub>2</sub> plant, although they had not ripened yet.

Not all plants fructified during the first or the second year, but it is important to point out that the *Lycium* shrubs which bore fruit did so in less than a year's time from their planting. This reveals a precocity trait in the new species' fructifying habits in Romania's pedo-climatic conditions.

**Fruit yield.** The total fruit yield for 2011 was 1,343.16 g. For 2012 the total fruit yield reached 6,512.13 g. So, the second year's fruit yield was almost 5 times bigger than that of the first year's yield.

The average fruit yield per plant varied between 2.68 g and 128.68 g, the first year from planting. V<sub>1</sub> plants yielded the most fruit. The average fruit yield per variety was 88.33 g for V<sub>1</sub> and 2.68 g for V<sub>2</sub>. Also, all the repetitions of this variety had fructifying plants, while in V<sub>2</sub> only the plants from the 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition bore fruit (Table 9).

The next year, the average fruit yield per plant varied between 23.24 g and 589.28 g. The maximum value was that of V<sub>1</sub>'s second repetition, while the minimum value belonged to V<sub>2</sub>'s 3<sup>rd</sup> repetition. The average fruit per repetition was 317.74 g for V<sub>1</sub> and 50.77 g for V<sub>2</sub> (Table 10).

So, V<sub>1</sub> was - for a second time - the more productive of the two *Lycium* varieties. Still, in 2012, V<sub>2</sub> had fruit bearing plants in two of its 3 repetitions, which is more than the previous year. Also, the average yield per plant was significantly higher in the second year for both varieties.

In 2012, the average values varied between 0.25 g and 0.34 g for V<sub>1</sub>. The average fruit weight for V<sub>1</sub>, the second year, was 0.31 g (Table 12). Also, the average fruit weight for V<sub>2</sub> was 0.40 g.

Table 9. Average fruit yield of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

2011		Average fruit yield (g)	Variability coefficient of fruit yield (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	15.41	110.31
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	128.68	79.90
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	120.90	56.95
Average		88.33	82.39
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	2.68	-
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	-	-
Average		2.68	-

Table 10. Average fruit yield of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

2012		Average fruit yield (g)	Variability coefficient of fruit yield (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	77.97	140.83
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	589.98	73.84
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	285.28	105.69
Average		317.74	106.79
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	78.30	-
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	23.24	-
Average		50.77	-

**Fruit weight.** The average weight for the V<sub>1</sub> fruit was mostly the same in 2011 and 2012. The first year, average values varied between 0.26 g and 0.39 g. The average fruit weight for 2011 was 0.35 g (Table 11).

Table 11. Average fruit weight of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the first year from planting

2011		Average fruit weight (g)	Variability coefficient of fruit weight (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	0.26	44.74
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	0.41	31.78
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	0.39	19.00
Average		0.35	31.84

Table 12. Average fruit weight of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

2012		Average fruit weight (g)	Variability coefficient of fruit weight (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	0.25	18.76
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	0.33	10.91
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	0.34	16.46
Average		0.31	15.38

Though the average fruit weight values for 2011 were bigger than those of the second year, in 2012 the coefficient of variability of these values was smaller. This means that the *Lycium* shrubs have started to produce more regular sized fruit which are in conformity with the species' fructification characteristics.

**Dry substance in fruit.** The average dry substance in 2011's fruit took values between 14.37 % and 17.03 %. For V<sub>1</sub>, the average dry substance within fruit was 15.46 %. The average variability coefficient of these values was of only 5.38 %, meaning that the variability was low (Table 13).

Table 13. Average dry substance of *Lycium barbarum* fruit, the first year from planting

2011		Average fruit D.S. (%)	Variability coefficient of fruit D.S. (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	17.03	5.59
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	15.00	3.56
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	14.37	7.01
Average		15.46	5.38

In 2012, the average values for dry substance in fruit were between 14.69 % and 16.85 %. The average dry substance within V<sub>1</sub>'s fruit was 15.84 %. For V<sub>2</sub>'s fruit, this indicator was 17.26 % (Table 14).

The variability coefficient of this indicator was higher in 2012 mostly due to the fact that the plants fructified more frequently and in higher quantities than the previous year.

In conclusion, the values for this indicator were slightly higher in 2012 than in 2011. Also, in comparison with V<sub>1</sub>'s values, V<sub>2</sub>'s fruit had a superior dry substance concentration.

Table 14. Average dry substance of *Lycium barbarum* fruit, the second year from planting

2012		Average fruit D.S. (%)	Variability coefficient of fruit D.S. (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	15.98	11.64
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	16.85	6.16
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	14.69	19.69
Average		15.84	12.50

**Volume and shape.** The shape of the *Lycium* shrubs was determined based on the plants' dimensions and architecture. As a consequence, the plants had either truncated cone or inverted (reversed) truncated cone shapes.

The average volume of the plants varied between 77,216.24 cm<sup>3</sup> and 1,636,777.63 cm<sup>3</sup>. The minimum value was that of V<sub>1</sub>'s 1<sup>st</sup> repetition and the maximum one was that of V<sub>2</sub>'s 2<sup>nd</sup> repetition. The average plant volume per variety was 233,905.36 cm<sup>3</sup> for V<sub>1</sub> and 1,361,867.98 cm<sup>3</sup> for V<sub>2</sub> (Table 15).

So, we can see that V<sub>2</sub>'s more luxuriant growth is, once more, demonstrated by indicators. Also, the variability coefficient is higher for V<sub>2</sub> than for V<sub>1</sub>.

Table 15. Average volume of *Lycium barbarum* plants, the second year from planting

2012		Average plant volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	Variability coefficient of plant volume (s%)
V <sub>1</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	77,216.24	140.96
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	326,277.55	78.01
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	298,222.29	78.76
Average		233,905.36	99.24
V <sub>2</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> repetition	-	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> repetition	1,636,777.63	139.98
	3 <sup>rd</sup> repetition	1,086,958.33	-
Average		1,361,867.98	139.98

## CONCLUSIONS

In a nutshell, we can see that the various indicators representing the dynamics of plant growth have had a positive trend over the two years from planting. V<sub>2</sub> plants have exhibited a more luxuriant growth, though accompanied by a later debut in their development. On the other hand, V<sub>1</sub> plants have had a more constant growth rate and an earlier debut in their development.

With regard to the fruit yield, V<sub>1</sub> incontestably had the superior values. Nevertheless, what V<sub>2</sub> lacked in quantity, it compensated in quality as the fruit of this variety were both bigger in size and had a higher concentration of dry substance. Also, it's interesting to point out the fact that fruit weights were smaller but less variable in 2012 than in 2011. Moreover, both varieties bore flowers and fruit at earlier dates the second year than the first.

The plants belonging to both studied varieties presented a discontinuous variability of their architectural elements. Still, V<sub>2</sub> repetitions had high variability coefficients for all calculated indicators mostly due to the loss of some of the plants.

To sum it all up, while V<sub>1</sub> individuals showed higher survival rates and higher yields, V<sub>2</sub> individuals presented a more luxuriant growth and a higher fruit quality. Also, judging by their growth dynamics, both varieties are exhibiting the normal behaviour of shrubs in their "beginning of fructifying" growth phase of their ontogenetic cycle.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research on *L. barbarum* is supported by POSDRU/107/1.5/S/76888 project.

## **REFERENCES**

- Amagase H., Farnsworth N.R., 2011. A review of botanical characteristics, phytochemistry, clinical relevance in efficacy and safety of *Lycium barbarum* fruit (Goji), *Food Research Int.*, 44, p. 1702–1717.
- Anastasiu P., Negrean G., Pascale G., Litescu S., 2005. Naturalizedand Invasive Ornamental Plants Present In Romanian Flora, *Scientific Papers Horticulture*, vol. 1 & 2, Ion Ionescu de la Brad Publishing House, p. 621
- Cociu V., Oprea S., 1989. *Research Methods in Fruit-Tree Breeding*, Dacia Publishing, 120 pp.
- Mencinicopschi Gh., 2010. Goji – Un super aliment, in Plafar, no. 30/ 2010, p. 48-49.
- Pena A., 1986. *Agricultural Genetics – Procedure manual for laboratory works*, Nicolae Bălcescu Agronomic Institute Publishing, Bucharest, 160 pp.
- The Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, China Academy of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1997. *Medicinal Plants in China – A selection of 150 commonly used species*, WHO Regional Publications, Western Pacific Series No. 2, p. 169.